

Application No. 22A181

No. 21-1493

In the

Supreme Court of the United States

James J. Knochel, et al

Applicant,

v.

Amy Fackrell, et al

Motion to Supplement Application

On Resubmission of Application 22A181,

on Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the

United States Court Of Appeals for the 9th Circuit

September 2, 2022

James J. Knochel, pro se
P.O. Box 3499
Prescott, AZ 86302
602-842-2688
knochj@gmail.com

MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT APPLICATION

The Rules of this court do not provide for updating an Application between denial by the circuit justice and its resubmission to another justice pursuant to Rule 22.4. Applicant thus prepares this Motion as a “message in a bottle” to hopefully interrupt his possibly-imminent prosecution by the State of Arizona.

Application #22A181 was originally sent to this court on August 10, 2022. The clerk promptly returned the Application with a letter that referenced dates for Applicant’s petition #21-6444. Petitioner sent this Application back to the Court with a note explaining the Application was to be filed on Petition #21-1493.

The Application was docketed and denied on August 25 2022. Applicant received notice of this denial electronically on August 29 2022, and promptly began preparing 10 additional copies for a resubmission. This resubmission was sent on September 2 2022.

Supplemental Information: Developments Since August 10 2022

As mentioned in the Application, Habeas Party Mihaylo’s latest escape from her guardian’s custody was on about July 30 2022. Mihaylo arrived in Prescott on August 3 2022, and was housed by Applicant at Motel 6, 1111 E Sheldon St, Prescott, AZ 86301, from August 3, 2022 until August 31, 2022. Motel Manager “E.K.” was hesitant to renew the lodging on about August 17, 2022. Applicant explained to “E.K.” that Habeas Party Mihaylo has an alcohol problem, and “I think [specific person] is giving her beer.” Applicant suspects E.K. had a word with [specific person], as the alcohol sharing seems to have stopped. E.K. commented to Applicant, “you take good care of your girlfriend.”

On August 30 2022, Applicant filed a complaint with the Adult Protective Services division of the Arizona Department of Economic Security, due to Habeas Party Mihaylo’s apparently having purchased some alcohol (Applicant is not aware of how Mihaylo came across these funds). On August 31, 2022 E.K. told his staff to not renew Mihaylo’s motel room. Applicant moved Mihaylo to the Hotel St. Michael.

Applicant received a call from Arizona Adult Protective Service [APS] employee on September 1, 2022. The APS employee appeared while Applicant and Habeas Party Mihaylo were waiting for their breakfast to be delivered at Hotel St. Michael's Bistro. Mihaylo was not fully lucid, but was not the worst Applicant has seen her. The APS employee asked if Mihaylo was safe: she confirmed that she was.

On September 2, 2022 Applicant called Mihaylo at 9:21am. There was no answer. Applicant called Mihaylo again at 10:32am. A male voice answered. Applicant was informed that Emily was being transported back to Maricopa County, that Applicant was in violation of a court order, and furthermore that Applicant would have been arrested if he had been at Hotel St. Michael when they arrived. Applicant was informed by the person with control of Habeas Party Mihaylo's phone that checkout was at 11am, and that he could retrieve Mihaylo's belongings from the room.

On consideration, Applicant realized the APS employee must have arranged for Habeas Party Mihaylo to be picked up at Hotel St Michael.

In a way this is a relief: Applicant does not have the income to afford putting Habeas Party Mihaylo up in motels. The purpose of Mihaylo's latest escape has been accomplished: there are now additional witnesses and an additional paper trail -- with the Arizona Department of Economic Security -- confirming that fraud was in fact perpetrated on the United States Court.

Applicant again notes that Habeas Party Mihaylo's own lawful request for a replacement guardian, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes 14-5307(B), was ignored by the state court. Habeas Party Mihaylo's 'informal letter' request for replacement guardian is attached hereto as Attachment J. (This is referenced by the Maricopa County Probate Court, in [Attachment A, pg Att-7]).

Arizona Revised Statutes 14-5307(B) states:

B. The ward may petition the court for an order that the ward is no longer incapacitated or petition for substitution of the guardian at any time. A

request for this order may be made by informal letter to the court or judge. A person who knowingly interferes with the transmission of this request may be found in contempt of court.

(emphasis added)

Conclusion

Petition #21-1493 is fundamentally about Due Process and Equal Protection, and how one is supposed to prevent the state government from subjecting their friend to “medical assault” with agony-inducing medications that were also used by Soviet doctors for dissident re-education.

Petitioner hereby files this motion to supplement Application #22A181 to state that he now reasonably expects to be prosecuted by the State of Arizona for helping Habeas Party Mihaylo survive her court-ordered abuse, even though these acts were in technical violation of an Arizona court order.

Wherefore Applicant prays for relief.

September 2, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

James J. Knochel, pro se
P.O. Box 3499
Prescott, AZ 86302
602-842-2688
knochj@gmail.com

Attachment J: Habeas Party Mihaylo’s December 5 2020 letter to the Arizona State Court requesting a new guardian. (Docketed December 14 2020).